

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/840,477	04/23/2001	Richard N. Cameron	426882000300	5743		
29638 75	90 05/31/2006		EXAMINER			
	WITCOFF, LTD.)))	JASMIN, LYNDA C			
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NO. 005222 10 S. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL		3627				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			09/840,477		CAMERON ET AL.				
		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
			ynda Jasmin		3627				
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover sh	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit food for reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. latutory period will a v will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMN a). In no event, however, apply and will expire SIX (use the application to bec	MUNICATION may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the	ely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)□ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>06 Mar</i>	<u>ch 2006</u> .						
2a)	is action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.						
3) <u></u> Si	nce this application is in condition	for allowance	e except for forma	I matters, pros	secution as to the	e merits is			
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ CI	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-21,23 and 25-41</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) <u></u> CI	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ CI	6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-21,23 and 25-41 is/are rejected.								
·	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) <u></u> CI	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> Th	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u></u> accep	ted or b) 🔲 objecte	ed to by the E	xaminer.				
Ap	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See	the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	the certified copie	s not received	J.				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) D Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Pap	er No(s)/Mail Dat	te				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or b(s)/Mail Date	5)							

Application/Control Number: 09/840,477 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment received March 06, 2006 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-21, 23, 25, 26, 28-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shore (2003/0149662 A1) in view of Business Editors and High-Tech Writers (hereafter Business) (March 2000).

Shore discloses a computer implemented method and system for reverse-control of a wireless mobile device (700) embodied in a computer readable medium to perform the steps of: providing a vendor/product device with a computer platform (via point of

Application/Control Number: 09/840,477

Art Unit: 3627

purchase/sale terminal 710) coupled to a wireless transmission channel port (box [0085]); and transmitting from the vendor device (710) via the wireless transmission channel port (via short range data transmission) to a compatible wireless transmission channel port on a wireless mobile device (box [0109]).

Shore further discloses the step of causing the wireless mobile device (3104) to interact wirelessly with the vendor device (3407) and a related micropayments accounting system (via micropayment system box [0470]). The interaction with the related micropayments accounting system will cause the vendor device to provide a product or service to the holder of the wireless mobile device (via approval of transaction using micropayment account 3203).

Shore further discloses the interaction with a related micropayments accounting system will cause a charge to be made to the account of the holder of the wireless mobile device (box [0455]), and/or a charge to be made to the account of the holder of the wireless mobile device produces a debit to a prepaid digital account or aggregates the debit with other current debits to be billed to the account holder at month end (box [482] via settlement procedures as per contractual agreements.

Shore however fails to explicitly disclose a program to take control of the wireless mobile device's menuing, interaction and display functions, and taking control of the wireless mobile device when the wireless mobile device enters a range of the product device.

Business discloses Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) technology that allows a variety of handheld communication devices to connect to the Internet. WAP requires

only that a simple "microbrowser" be incorporated into the mobile phone or handheld computer, because the majority of all necessary functionality is built into the communication network. This technology provides a standard data communication interface between WAP-enabled Web sites and handheld devices, thus expanding the reach of those sites. WAP is similar to Java in that it simplifies application development. This reduces the cost of wireless application development and therefore encourages entry to the mobile industry by software developers, such as Accesspoint. When viewing a web site from a wireless device the user will see the information reformatted specifically to match the display format of the device being used.

From this teaching of Business, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the wireless information transfers of Shore to include a program that takes control of a user's mobile device as taught by Business in order to facilitate purchase of for example a can of coke from a vending machine, all with a cell phone.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shore (2003/0149662 A1) in view of Business as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Wright et al. (2002/0178062 A1).

The Shore and Business combination discloses the elements of the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose the micropayment system is Qpass micropayment machine.

Wright et al. discloses the concept of having an electronic wallet management method that simplifies online shopping.

From this teaching of Ling, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Shore and Business combination to include the Qpass system taught by Wright et al. in order to aggregate purchases made by users so that users are only billed for their purchases on a monthly basis.

6. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shore (2003/0149662 A1) in view of Business as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Turtiainen (6,430,407)

The Shore and Business combination discloses the elements of the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose using a card reader to make anonymous payment on a mobile phone.

Turtiainen discloses the concept of utilizing the secret a SIM card on a mobile phone in electronic transaction. From this teaching of Turtiainen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Shore and Business combination to include the SIM system taught by Turtiainen in order to facilitate payment of remote transaction.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-21, 23 and 25-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/840,477 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

6782. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (9:30-6:00) with Thursday Telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$71,-272,1000.

pylida Jaşmin Primary ⊟xaminer Art Unit 3627